

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED SPATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Ratery and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Boy 1450 Alexardina, Virginia 22313-1450 www.ksbto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
. 09/770,644	01/25/2001	Ofir Paz	14531.107.1.2	6756
47973	7590 04/07/2006		EXAMINER	
WORKMAN NYDEGGER/MICROSOFT			SHINGLES, KRISTIE D	
1000 EAGLE GATE TOWER 60 EAST SOUTH TEMPLE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SALT LAKE	CITY, UT 84111	2141		
			DATE MAILED: 04/07/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/770,644	PAZ ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Kristie Shingles	2141			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 January 2006. This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. 						
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5)	Claim(s) 31-35 and 51-54 is/are pending in the day of the above claim(s) is/are withdred claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 31-35 and 51-54 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and on Papers The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) are applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the least of the oath or declaration is objected to by the least of the oath or declaration is objected to by the least of the oath or declaration is objected to by the least of the oath or declaration is objected to by the least of the oath or declaration is objected to by the least of the oath or declaration is objected to by the least of the oath or declaration is objected to by the least of the oath or declaration is objected to by the least of the oath or declaration is objected to by the least of the oath or declaration is objected to by the least of the oath or declaration is objected to by the least of the oath or declaration is objected to by the least of the oath or declaration is objected to by the least of the oath or declaration is objected to by the least of the oath or declaration is objected to by the least of the oath or declaration is objected to be the oath of the oath or declaration is objected to be the oath of the oath or declaration is objected to be the oath of the oa	awn from consideration. /or election requirement. ner. ccepted or b) □ objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is consideration.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority ı	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
2) Notic 3) Inform	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 8) 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:				

Art Unit: 2141

DETAILED ACTION

Per Applicant's Request for Continued Examination:

Claims 31 and 51-53 have been amended. Claims 1-30 and 36-50 have been cancelled.

Claim 54 is new.

Claims 31-35 and 51-54 are pending.

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 1/12/2006 has been entered.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments, see Remarks pages 6-8, filed 1/12/2006, with respect to 31 have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the 35 U.S.C. 102(b) rejection of 31-35 and 51-53 has been withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. **Per claims 51-53,** the corrections to the claim language have been accepted by the Examiner. The rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, is therefore withdrawn.

Art Unit: 2141

Double Patenting

4. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

5. Claims 31-35 and 51-54 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1, 4-6, 24-26, 28-31, 35-41, 52, 68, 82 and 83 of copending Application No. 09/770767. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the subject matter of the conflicting claims is directed to the same method of generating a compressed video stream and manipulating commands that are responsive to the limitations of client's remote display device, in order to modify the display of the compressed video stream by moving an element, replacing an element, changing a property of an element or removing an element. Furthermore the modifications of the display elements are directed to the same features of bandwidth restrictions, manipulating colors, using different fonts, reducing and/or synchronizing flashing

Application/Control Number: 09/770,644

Art Unit: 2141

Page 4

rates of colors and cursors, reducing animation rates of animated objects, low-pass filtering to

reduce display resolution, reducing scrolling resolution, and changing an element to a similar

element that is easier to compress.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting

claims have not in fact been patented.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

6. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the

subject matter, which the applicant regards as his invention.

7. Claim 54 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for

failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as

the invention. The term "easier to compress" in line 6 of claim 54 is a relative term which renders

the claim indefinite. The term "easier to compress" is not defined by the claim, the specification

does not provide a standard for ascertaining the requisite degree, and one of ordinary skill in the

art would not be reasonably apprised of the scope of the invention. Correction is required.

Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Kristie Shingles whose telephone number is 571-272-3888. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:30-6:00PM.

Application/Control Number: 09/770,644

Art Unit: 2141

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rupal Dharia can be reached on 571-272-3880. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kristie Shingles Examiner Art Unit 2141

kds

LE HIEN LUU PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 5